

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	PATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/413,792	10/07/1	999	PATRICK ROSS TRISCHITTA	04787.81749	2431
	7590	03/11/2004		EXAM	INER
DANIEL N.		SINGH, DALZID E			
TYCOM (US) 250 INDUSTI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ROOM 2B106		2633	13		
EATONTOW	N, NJ 07724	}		DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/413,792	TRISCHITTA, PATRICK ROSS		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Dalzid Singh	2633		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mode, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	anuary 2004.			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in prity documents have bee nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date		
Rotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
. Patent and Trademark Office				

Art Unit: 2633

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crameri et al (US Patent No. 6,166,836).

Regarding claim 1, Crameri et al disclose power switching of optical fibre cable, shown in Fig. 1, comprising:

first (16) and second cables (18), wherein each of said first and second cables further comprises one or more data signal carrying lines and an electrical power conductor, wherein said first cable carries data signals between communication devices of a first landmass (10) and a second landmass (20), and said second cable carries data signals between communication devices of the first landmass (10) and a third landmass (22) (see col. 1, lines 5-10, Crameri et al disclose that the system is used to carry power and data signal (communication) between different landmass); and

an electrical power connector (within branching unit 10) connecting said electrical power conductors of said first (16) and second cables (18) so that electrical current can flow between said power conductors of said first and second cables without power feed equipment coupled to the first or second cable on the first landmass (as shown in Fig. 1.

Art Unit: 2633

it appears that there is no power feed equipment connected to the first landmass (branching unit)).

Regarding claim 5, as shown in Fig. 1, an end of said first cable (16), and an end of said second cable (18), enter onto a first landmass at a common landing point (branching unit).

Regarding claim 6, as shown in Fig. 1, ends of said first and second cables are routed to a cable station (i.e., branching unit), and said electrical power connector is located in said cable station (switching circuit (i.e., power connector) are located within the branching unit).

Regarding claim 9, Crameri et al show that the signal carrying lines of said first cable are communicatively isolated from said signal carrying lines of said second cable (since the cables are connected by electrical switches, therefore the cables can be communicatively isolated when the switch is open).

Regarding claim 10, Crameri et al show that signal carrying lines of said first cable carry different signals from signals carried on said signal carrying lines of said second cable (since the signal carrying lines (16 and 18) carry signals from different locations (20 and 22), therefore the signals carried on the two different cables could be different).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/413,792

Art Unit: 2633

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-4, 7, 8 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crameri et al (US Patent No. 6,166,836) in view of prior art figures (hereinafter "reference 2") submitted by the applicant.

Regarding claim 11, Crameri et al disclose power switching of optical fibre cable, shown in Fig. 1, comprising:

a first cable (16) station located on a first landmass (20);

a second cable (18) station located on a second landmass (22);

a plurality of cable segments, each connecting communication networks of two landmasses, wherein each of said plurality of cable segments includes an electrical power conductor and one or more data signal carrying lines located on at least one additional landmass, and wherein no power feed equipment is coupled to any of said plurality of cable segments on said at least one additional landmass (Fig. 1, shows branching unit, located on additional landmass, which connects plurality of cable segments; it appears that there are no power feed connected to the branching unit).

Crameri et al differ from this claim in that Crameri et al do not specifically disclose having a first and second piece of power feed equipment and wherein said electrical power conductors of said plurality of cable segments are electrically

Art Unit: 2633

connected in series between a positive terminal of said first piece of power equipment and a negative terminal of said second piece of power feed equipment. However, in Fig. 4 of reference 2, plurality of power feed equipments are shown, wherein the plurality of cable segments are electrically connected in series between a positive terminal (403) of said first piece of power equipment and a negative terminal (404) of said second piece of power feed equipment. It is well known that data signal degrades after traveling long distances, therefore it would have been obvious to provide a repeater system along the transmission line to regenerate the data signal. In submarine communication system, where the repeater system is submerged under water, it is difficult to provide power to the repeater system since there is no source of power underwater. Therefore it would have been obvious to provide power (i.e., power feed) to the repeater system through the transmission lines. The motivation of providing power through the transmission line is to be able place the repeater system anywhere underwater and still have power to function properly.

Regarding claim 12, as discussed above, reference 2 shows plurality of cable segments includes a device powered by an electrical current carried on said electrical power conductor of said one of said plurality of cable segments (since the cable is connected at the positive and negative terminal, therefore current is able to flow).

Regarding claims 13 and 14, as discussed above, reference 2 further shows said data signal carrying lines within said one of said plurality of cable segments is an optical fiber (see Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 15, Crameri et al disclose that data signal carrying lines of said plurality of cable segments are not connected in series between said first and second cable stations (in Fig. 5, Crameri et al show switching circuits in the branching units, where different cables can be connected (switch closed) in series or disconnected (switch open)).

Regarding claim 2, in Fig. 1, Crameri et al show plurality of switching circuits connecting plurality of cables from different land stations and differ from this claim in that Crameri et al do not specifically disclose a first piece of power feed equipment having positive and negative terminals. However, reference 2, shows power feed equipments (403 and 404) having positive and negative terminals. Since power feed equipments have positive and negative terminals, therefore it would have been obvious to connect the positive from one terminal to the negative of the other terminal in order for the current to flow in a specified direction.

Regarding claim 3, as discussed above, in Fig. 3 reference 2 further shows wherein said negative terminal of said first piece of power feed equipment and said positive terminal of said second piece of power feed equipment are electrically connected to a ground potential.

Regarding claim 4, Crameri et al do not specifically teach repeaters, however, in Fig. 3 of reference 2, there is shown one or more optical repeaters (REP). Since terminals are separated in long distances, therefore it would have been obvious to provide repeater system as taught by reference 2. One of ordinary skill would have been motivated to do such in order to regenerate the signal.

Art Unit: 2633

Regarding claim 7, Crameri et al differ from this claim in that Crameri et al do not specifically disclose plurality of data signal carrying lines, communicatively coupled to said one or more data signal carrying lines of said first cable, and further communicatively coupled to a communication device of a first communication network located on the first landmass. However, reference 2 shows cross-section of the transmission lines, which comprises of plurality of data carrying lines (202). Since transmission bandwidth increases over time, therefore it would have been obvious to provide plurality of data lines in order to transfer large amount of data signal to various locations.

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Crameri et al and reference 2 differs from this claim in that the combination does not specifically disclose converter for converting between optical and electrical signals. However, since data signal is carried by optical signal, therefore it would have been obvious to provide a converter that converts the optical signal into electrical signal in order to received and processed the transmitted information signal.

### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalzid Singh whose telephone number is 703-306-5619. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8am - 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 703-305-4729. The fax phone number for

Art Unit: 2633

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DS March 5, 2004

> LESLIE PASCAL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8